



Dai Richards &lt;dairichardswales@gmail.com&gt;

**Karen Jones to Christina Rees communication Heol y Glyn landfill site**

1 message

**Dai Richards** <dairichardswales@gmail.com>

6 June 2023 at 22:33

To: "REES, Christina" &lt;christina.rees.mp@parliament.uk&gt;, Karen Jones - CEX &lt;chief.executive@npt.gov.uk&gt;, Jennifer Roberts &lt;jeniroids@googlemail.com&gt;, Dai Richards &lt;dairichardswales@gmail.com&gt;

Our ref: 20230606A-EM-GRAC-CREE-report

Distribution:

Christina Rees, MP, Neath - christina.rees.mp@parliament.uk

Karen Jones, Chief Executive NPTC - chief.executive@npt.gov.uk

Jennifer Herbert, Monitoring Officer, Glynneath Residents Against Contamination - jeniroids@googlemail.com

Attachments: x 3

Dear Christina Rees (copied to Mrs Karen Jones with supplementary note)

Thank you for forwarding the reply made to you by the NPTC Chief Executive. It would seem that both she and Councillor Knoyle wish to discredit me, but without actually providing you with evidence that I have committed a crime or any such act that requires this defamation of character. I would suggest that in our previous contacts you are aware of the quality of my work and that my analysis and representation of factual evidence as in previous cases, is spot on. I have been publicly discredited by Neath Port Talbot Council public officials since I stood up for the rights of around 30 elderly residents whom I supported because NPTC refused them the right to communicate through their regular channels during the COVID pandemic. They wanted to live in safety and object against a housing development, during the pandemic, however, the only option offered to them was to send an email to the council to raise objections. I then got blocked for sending too many emails in support of residents who didn't have access to email.

I am of course aware that these discreditations are words that have no substance and as such they do not cause the same havoc to one's brain as does the toxic waste we are living next to. I have passed the Chief Executive's response on to the Glynneath Residents Against Contamination monitoring officer, Jennifer Herbert who I'm sure will be in touch with you.

I am replying in this instance to you in my role as a director of Rugby Relics Ltd in support of one of our NPT council tax paying employee's who wishes to remain anonymous. They wish to make representation to you that they believe attacks on my character by NPTC public officials are outrageous and unwarranted and ask that you request Mrs Jones that she make these allegations public for all to see. Our employee has asked me to make the representation in line with the new NPTC Corporate Plan, Section 9, Resetting relationships & Your part in resetting relationships and in particular the following requests by the council that they wish residents to do:

Help protect and support children, young people and vulnerable residents.

Tell us what matters to you and let us know if we get things wrong.

Get involved in your community.

The Council Chief Executive should be aware that for the last 3 years I have been involved in the community protecting and supporting children, young people and vulnerable residents from crimes her council are committing against them. I've been telling her council what they are doing wrong. Unfortunately they just don't listen. Instead I've suffered constant abuse and attacks from her Council which I have recorded and am happy to supply at your request. I'm sure the Council Chief Executive is most likely unaware that my support team is probably twice as qualified as her planning team in the relevant subject matter with which we are dealing.

From a personal point of view, as regards the content of her email, I would note that in your email to NPTC, you provided documentary evidence that NPTC planning department malpractice had placed lives in danger in 2008 by not following contaminated land protocol. The response by the Chief Executive fails to refer to this document but does refer to subject matter contained in the document so it must be considered an official response from Neath Port Talbot Council to our September 2020 "Council of Death" document as requested by you.

From its content which consists of generic inferences unsupported by evidence, the response by the Chief Executive we can see is intended to cover-up and support past, present and future corruption/criminal activity within the Neath Port Talbot Council that has / is / and / or will cause deaths amongst your electorate. Mrs Karen Jones refers to this as 'alleged impacts'.

I am copying Mrs Jones into this email so that she is aware of our stance in relation to her reply. The 'alleged impacts' she refers to are peoples lives. These are human beings who have placed their trust in the organisation she represents. They are people who have paid council tax, their hard earned money to be protected by her organisation. They are people who have been failed by her organisation and have almost certainly lost their lives because of corrupt planning officials and their failure to regulate corrupt waste contractors and developers. Mrs Jones is seen to be supporting these activities in her communication with you.

The response from the Chief Executive identifies that she is in effect the head of a well organised criminal organisation who have worked together with environmental criminals such as the Cuddy Group and Enzo Sauro of Enzo Homes to place residents surrounding the Heol y Glyn site in danger of death. Evidence published to social media by Councillor Simon Knoyle identifies that planning officials and councillors were meeting Enzo Sauro on site as he was breaking the conditions set by the planning committee.

We believe that the response made by the Chief Executive is a criminal offence defined by the UK government as Misconduct in Public Office. The communication made to you is intended to:

Discredit a council tax paying member of NPTC without providing evidence.

Provide you with generic refutations in relation to council malpractice without providing evidence to support this.

Deny you (Christina Rees) the opportunity to access information to support your electorate living within NPTC which is their right under UK law, she does this without just cause.

To confirm the criminal nature of Mrs Jones activities and how she is now personally supporting the murder of Glynneath residents, I would you refer to the following passage from the email.

*"Turning specifically to the issue of land contamination at the site and whether the Council has historically contravened the Environmental Protection Act 1990, officers have advised me that this legislation no longer applies when a site is going through the planning process; by definition therefore the Council has not at any point contravened its duties under this legislation, as it is not relevant once the planning process has commenced. What is relevant however, is that through the planning process, the Council is required to address land contamination to ensure sites are safe and suitable for use after development has been completed."*

and I would then direct you towards the following planning application "awaiting decision" on the Neath Port Talbot Council website. P2021/0546.

[http://appsportal.npt.gov.uk/ords/idocs12/f?p=Planning:2:0::NO::P2\\_REFERENCE:P2021/0546](http://appsportal.npt.gov.uk/ords/idocs12/f?p=Planning:2:0::NO::P2_REFERENCE:P2021/0546)

I would refer you to the first item on this list, the Decision Notice for the plans P2020/0863 approved in March 2021.

These approved plans constitute the removal of the chemical testing for at least 21,000 cubic metres of contaminated & toxic waste that include areas tested and found to be contaminated in 2008 and in 2020. This is the area we identified as contaminated in 2008 and not remediated in the document to which the chief executive was responding.

I would refer you again to what Mrs Jones said:

*"What is relevant however, is that through the planning process, the Council is required to address land contamination to ensure sites are safe and suitable for use after development has been completed."*

The spoil heap that has had the testing removed in the P2020/0863 plans has been tested three times and found to be contaminated on each occasion, most recently in 2020 with contamination recorded as being up to 9 times above the safety guidelines. The contaminated land officer at NPTC stated that the tests were shallow and not satisfactory and he was also made aware of resident eyewitness reports of barrels that may contain toxic waste being dumped at the site. According to law the land should be tested again or remediated. According to Karen Jones' council the land is not contaminated, despite tests that say it is and it can now be re-distributed and moved next to people's homes without further testing. This is the breach of the 1990 Environmental Act that Karen Jones is covering up. This is not supposition, this is fact. This is factual evidence and it is contained on the council website for you to see. We also have video and photographic evidence to corroborate everything we say.

I would refer you again to what our specialist who deals with toxic waste on a regular basis states:

*"Potentially we could see a national disaster in which dozens of people may die"*

Quite simply, we can expect to see a lot more of Mrs Jones alleged impacts.

I would also refer you to the attached image which provides evidence that contradicts the Chief Executive's opinions on the role of the Environmental Protection Act within the planning process, and the following YouTube video which

was uploaded almost two years ago.

<https://youtu.be/ZNR32GjgBqM>

The content of our video has not been responded to by the 5 NPTC officials, including Ceri Morris, Simon Knoyle, Del Morgan and the Council Leader at the time. They were all hand delivered a letter offering them the opportunity to provide counter evidence to the information contained within the video. If Mrs Jones believes that her officers are telling the truth she should provide a screenshot image from the 1990 Environmental Protection Act legislation that contradicts the guidance of the UK Environmental Law Association. Failure to do so is a clear indication of a criminal action.

We have been publicly stating for the last 2 years that:

*"Ceri Morris is continuing to and has potentially murdered Glynneath residents to support the corrupt activities of the Neath-Port Talbot Council Planning Department."*

The Rugby Relics Ltd owned website to which Mrs Jones refers you, [www.walk-around-wales.com](http://www.walk-around-wales.com) will now refer to Neath Port Talbot Council as the Council of Killers. Mrs Jones response now means that the three major figures within the council, the Chief Executive, the Council Leader and the Mayor can all be proven to be supporting planning department malpractice that has/is almost certainly killing residents around the site. This in my opinion makes the council a criminal organisation. Mrs Jones has been added to the Council of Killers Corruption Coalition page and we have introduced a new Council of Killers logo that we are now using publicly.

<http://www.walk-around-wales.com/county/npt/glynneath/enzo-development/corruption-coalition-heol-y-glyn.htm>

We would be delighted to offer the council the opportunity to challenge us through the legal process, the more publicity we achieve through this, the more people will understand the level of depravity this council can sink to in the pursuit of self serving.

Please note that this information being presented to you in this communication is designed to help you in your role as the elected member of the UK Parliament for you to decide on which way you can best support the people whom you are elected to represent.

This communication is not intended to be a complaint, merely an observation that will allow you to do your job as an M.P., any referral into a government complaints or civil legal process will be deemed as inappropriate and an attempt to discharge your responsibility as a person who is elected and paid to represent United Kingdom residents with problems that may cause their death.

Rugby Relics Ltd has been supporting the residents surrounding the Heol y Glyn site since the beginning of the COVID pandemic. It is not our responsibility to take on this role. In this case, we are representing a member of staff. We will be happy to supply further information or answer questions in relation to this subject matter should you require it. If you believe the information we are providing you is biased or incorrect in anyway, you should state this in a return communication.

regards Dai Richards (Director) Rugby Relics Ltd.

Note for Karen Jones. - Christina Rees M.P. provided you with evidence of contaminated land that has not been dealt with in accordance with UK law. You should now ask your Head of Planning for the status of the land that was tested as contaminated. I have already supplied you with the answer to this question, which is "the land has now been declared as inert". So when you next go to use the term "officers have advised me", the judge will say, "but Mr Richards advised you, did he not? Did you check that information?"

Your Head of Planning and Public Protection personally signed contaminated land off as inert (clean) in the plans P2020/0863. This land is contaminated and will be allowed to be moved next to peoples homes when the plans P2021/0546 are passed. It is my intention to report you to the police for the attempted murder of Glynneath residents in the next few weeks based upon the misinformation in your letter which also breaches the NPTC staff code of conduct. I won't bother with the Ombudsman, you are a criminal and you deserve to be in prison. I would suggest that you now have an opportunity to make use of the PIDA Act before I make that report.

***"The Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998 (PIDA) offers protection to workers from any detriment from their employer that arises from the worker making a 'protected disclosure'. To qualify as a 'protected disclosure' the disclosure must satisfy a number of requirements under PIDA: 1. 1. The worker must have made a 'qualifying disclosure'. This is a disclosure of information which, in the reasonable belief of the worker, tends to show one or more of the following:***

***(a) That a criminal offence has been committed, is being committed, or is likely to be***

committed

(b) That a person has failed, is failing, or is likely to fail to comply with any legal obligation to which he is subject

(c) That a miscarriage of justice has occurred, is occurring, or is likely to occur

(d) That the health and safety of any individual has been, is being, or is likely to be endangered

(e) That the environment has been, is being, or is likely to be damaged

(f) That information tending to show any matter falling within any of the preceding paragraphs has been, or is likely to be deliberately concealed"

regards - Dai Richards - www.dai-richards.wales  
www.walk-around-wales.com

3 attachments



39-UKLEA-contam-montage-mar.jpg  
289K

Development on contaminated land  
Contaminated land is also taken into account in planning applications for new developments. Planning permission may be refused if the development site poses serious harm that cannot be cleaned up. Even if there is minimal risk of contamination, the planning permission may still require the developer to do some clean up work before proceeding with construction work. Find out more here. Please note that the planning process does not override the contaminated land regime provided by Part IIA of the Environmental Protection Act 1990.



69-1000.jpg  
114K



95.jpg  
278K