



Dai Richards &lt;dairichardswales@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Fw: Email dated 04/03/22 11:01am**

2 messages

---

**Dai Richards** <dai@rugbyrelics.com>  
Reply-To: Dai Richards <sales@rugbyrelics.com>  
To: ggg-gmail account <dairichardswales@gmail.com>

9 April 2022 at 20:00

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Jennifer Roberts  
**To:** dai@rugbyrelics.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 09, 2022 9:29 AM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Email dated 04/03/22 11:01am

Here is the original e-mail from Simon.

J.

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Cllr. Simon Knoyle" <cllr.s.a.knoyle@npt.gov.uk>  
**Date:** 6 March 2022 at 08:31:54 GMT  
**To:** Jennifer <jeniobs@googlemail.com>, Gerry <mulloch21@hotmail.com>, haulwenjones <haulwenjones@live.com>, Janet Collins <janetcollins6218@outlook.com>, rknoglegts@gmail.com, "Cllr. Del Morgan" <cllr.j.d.morgan@npt.gov.uk>, Shane <shanemcmenamin45@gmail.com>, Janet <jangregory100@gmail.com>, Esso <anzacevans@icloud.com>, "Cllr. Carolyn Edwards" <cllr.c.edwards@npt.gov.uk>, "marie.powell" <marie.powell@mail.com>  
**Subject:** Email dated 04/03/22 11:01am

Jennifer, in response to your email referenced above, this email is completely confidential and should only be discussed and shown between Cllrs included with the email thread, if details are disclosed to members of the public this will be a complete breach of the code of conduct for Cllrs.

The comments below are my own but obviously relate to Cllr Del Morgan who has not been asked for his comments on this matter.

Firstly, let me make it clear that I do not have to reply to your questions but, am doing so in order that this issue is closed out. This is NOT a GTC Council issue, it is a NPTCBC issue as explained previously.

Your wording below of "you have a question to answer about the current plans" and "you need to come clean", I dont know who you think you are talking in this context, we are supposed to be working together and this line of questioning from a fellow Cllr is completely inappropriate and out of order.

In fact, I am still awaiting an apology from you relating to the issue of talking to residents about the consultation with the change of use issue, you also threatened to assist a resident and report me to many institutions, this is not in line with the good Cllr guidelines, and does not promote collaborative working for the better of the Community does it?

Your Facebook posts say that the council have agreed that spoil heap at Heol y Glyn can be taken away without testing. **This is correct, the email posted on FB was a copy of an email sent to us by Planning Officers, the condition was varied to allow for the spoil heap to be removed but comments from local members to officers were "with the proviso that you take seriously on board our request for some additional on-site testing of the soils/materials as we have outlined to you previously."**

Your most recent post says that you don't agree with the spoil heap being taken away without testing which as you well know has already had planning approval to be moved off and around the site by the

developer,.. I didn't and still don't agree that the soil should be displaced without further testing, I have specifically stated that further, additional and deeper testing of the ground be carried out, see reply to the question above which sets that out quite clearly. We now know that this did not happen and it is worth noting that the soil taken off site has been WAC tested and classified as Inert.


So that statement is nonsense and you need to come clean to everyone please because we have all supported you in this process.

Can I also remind you Simon, that you also have a question to answer about the current plans P2021/0546 .

You've told the people around the site there are no plans and if you have mistakenly told them, they need to know now that there are. **If you read my letter properly (copy below), you will see that I have stated that "the current owner of the land has not indicated any plans to the to the LPA to date and we wait any proposals from them". This is factually correct and is the current situation.**

end.

Can you answer my question please.



Get Outlook for iOS

We welcome correspondence in Welsh and will deal with Welsh and English correspondence to the same standards and timescales.

Croesewir gohebiaeth yn y Gymraeg a byddwn yn ymdrin â gohebiaeth Gymraeg a Saesneg i'r un safonau ac amserlenni

If you would like to use Welsh when dealing with the Council please click [here](#)

Os hoffech ddefnyddio'r Gymraeg wrth ddelio â'r Cyngor os gwelwch yn dda cliciwch [yma](#)

This message has been scanned for malware by Forcepoint. [www.forcepoint.com](http://www.forcepoint.com)



Virus-free. [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)

Reply-To: Dai Richards <sales@rugbyrelics.com>  
To: ggg-gmail account <dairichardswales@gmail.com>

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Jennifer Roberts  
**To:** dai@rugbyrelics.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 09, 2022 9:30 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Email dated 04/03/22 11:01am

This was the response from Me/You.

Sent from my iPad

On 7 Mar 2022, at 09:51, Jennifer Roberts <jenirops@googlemail.com> wrote:

Simon, you speak about "Breach of the code of conduct" that is something you should adhere to. And you are waiting for an apology from me RE "Panning application at Maes Y Ffynnon " it was only when I brought it up that you decided to intervene, I had spoken with several residents who will bear witness that you did not call on them.

On two occasions that I can remember you have chosen to manipulate the facts, (1) with Lisa Evans who recorded your tel conversations with her, and what you then told me was different. (2) the Prow, when you attended that meeting at the town hall, while the Welsh office were conducting their investigation, but you chose to leave, when you could have supported ' The Atkins' with the facts that you believe, had you stayed, and subsequently sit back, while I was made to feel like the scape goat by our very recent councillor Mike Pugh. When Councillor Pugh asked " why wasn't the public involved" You knew fully, that the public had prior information on the date of that meeting. And it was a fair and thorough investigation into the Prow.

As for not questioning Councillor Dell Morgan on the activities at Heol-Y-Glyn, it was you that chose to publicise on FB the clear contradictions that you made surrounding the facts. What you say Simon is that " The current owner of the land has not indicated any plans to the local planning authority to date and we await any proposals from them"

Any resident looking at this would think that there are no plans submitted for the development. And that is your intention Simon to make people believe there are no plans submitted for the development. And yes you are factually correct because you know that the plans have been submitted by Enzo who is acting on behalf of the current developer. So even though you may be factually correct, technically you are incorrect, because there is no way that the developer doesn't know that ENZO has put plans in on his behalf.

This is deception Simon, you are deceiving the people who you are representing, you should be ashamed of yourself, after all we went through and all the promises that we made to the people of Glynneath to be honest and fair and truthful, and they don't deserve this.

The land has been tested and found to be contaminated and the developer has just withdrawn the contamination testing because it can be clearly seen to have been tampered with. People are living next to this contamination Simon, and people are dying, these are our people, people who trust us.

You can manipulate and deceive the truth with those people Simon, BUT I WILL NOT, I have sworn to support the people of Glynneath, and that's what I will do, I will support all the people of Glynneath even if it means standing up and fighting on my own.

NOT THE END. JENNIFER.

Sent from my iPad

On 6 Mar 2022, at 08:31, Cllr. Simon Knoyle <cllr.s.a.knoyle@npt.gov.uk> wrote:

Jennifer, in response to your email referenced above, this email is completely confidential and should only be discussed and shown between Cllrs included with the email thread, if

details are disclosed to members of the public this will be a complete breach of the code of conduct for Cllrs.

The comments below are my own but obviously relate to Cllr Del Morgan who has not been asked for his comments on this matter.

Firstly, let me make it clear that I do not have to reply to your questions but, am doing so in order that this issue is closed out. This is NOT a GTC Council issue, it is a NPTCBC issue as explained previously.

Your wording below of "you have a question to answer about the current plans" and "you need to come clean", I don't know who you think you are talking in this context, we are supposed to be working together and this line of questioning from a fellow Cllr is completely inappropriate and out of order.

In fact, I am still awaiting an apology from you relating to the issue of talking to residents about the consultation with the change of use issue, you also threatened to assist a resident and report me to many institutions, this is not in line with the good Cllr guidelines, and does not promote collaborative working for the better of the Community does it?

Your Facebook posts say that the council have agreed that spoil heap at Heol y Glyn can be taken away without testing. **This is correct, the email posted on FB was a copy of an email sent to us by Planning Officers, the condition was varied to allow for the spoil heap to be removed but comments from local members to officers were "with the proviso that you take seriously on board our request for some additional on-site testing of the soils/materials as we have outlined to you previously."**

Your most recent post says that you don't agree with the spoil heap being taken away without testing which as you well know has already had planning approval to be moved off and around the site by the developer,.. **I didn't and still don't agree that the soil should be displaced without further testing, I have specifically stated that further, additional and deeper testing of the ground be carried out, see reply to the question above which sets that out quite clearly. We now know that this did not happen and it is worth noting that the soil taken off site has been WAC tested and classified as Inert.**

So that statement is nonsense and you need to come clean to everyone please because we have all supported you in this process.

Can I also remind you Simon, that you also have a question to answer about the current plans P2021/0546 .

You've told the people around the site there are no plans and if you have mistakenly told them, they need to know now that there are. **If you read my letter properly (copy below), you will see that I have stated that "the current owner of the land has not indicated any plans to the to the LPA to date and we wait any proposals from them". This is factually correct and is the current situation.**

end.

Can you answer my question please.

<Image.jpeg>

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

We welcome correspondence in Welsh and will deal with Welsh and English correspondence to the same standards and timescales.

Croesewir gohebiaeth yn y Gymraeg a byddwn yn ymdrin â gohebiaeth Gymraeg a Saesneg i'r un safonau ac amserlenni

If you would like to use Welsh when dealing with the Council please click [here](#)

Os hoffech ddefnyddio'r Gymraeg wrth ddelio â'r Cyngor os gwelwch yn dda cliciwch [yma](#)

This message has been scanned for malware by Forcepoint. [www.forcepoint.com](http://www.forcepoint.com)



Virus-free. [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)